

# National Security and Investment Bill

---

SECOND  
MARSHALLED  
LIST OF AMENDMENTS  
TO BE MOVED  
IN GRAND COMMITTEE

---

[Amendments marked ★ are new or have been altered]

**Amendment  
No.**

**Clause 6**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

15 Page 4, line 14, after “a” insert “foreign”

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN

15A Page 4, line 17, leave out paragraph (b)

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

16 Page 4, line 17, after first “a” insert “foreign”

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD BILIMORIA  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

17 Page 4, line 20, leave out “15% to 15%” and insert “25% to 25%”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*A mandatory filing threshold of 15% is lower than the thresholds used in some other major foreign direct investment regimes. This amendment seeks to raise the threshold to 25%.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

18 Page 4, line 24, after “the” insert “foreign”

19 Page 4, line 31, at end insert “foreign”

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN

19A Page 4, line 34, leave out subsection (6)

### Clause 7

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES  
LORD BILIMORIA

- 20 Page 5, line 10, at end insert “, save that any entity that has annual turnover in the United Kingdom less than £10 million is not a qualifying entity for the purposes of this Act (other than in circumstances where the acquisition of that entity is by means of artificial arrangements which do not reflect economic reality and are intended to circumvent the provisions of the Act).”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment, and the amendment to page 5, line 20 in the name of Lord Leigh of Hurley, seek to introduce value thresholds for qualifying entities and assets (subject to anti-avoidance provisions to prevent the circumvention of the Act), which would bring the NSI regime in line with other leading foreign investment regimes that have de minimis financial thresholds for notification.*

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

- 21 Page 5, line 14, leave out paragraph (b)

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment ensures that only those entities that carry on activities in the UK are qualifying entities.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

- 22 Page 5, line 17, after “land” insert “subject to subsection (7)”

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

- 23 Page 5, line 20, at end insert “and which are not generally and widely available on the commercial market”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment seeks to ensure that "business as usual" procurement, such as the purchasing of software licences or standard network equipment, is not captured in the definition of a qualifying asset and so avoids referral requirements, which might create a significant and disproportionate burden on businesses.*

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES  
LORD BILIMORIA

- 24 Page 5, line 20, at end insert “,  
save that any asset that has a gross value less than £10 million is not a qualifying asset for the purposes of this Act (other than where the acquisition of that asset is by means of artificial arrangements which do not reflect economic reality and are intended to circumvent the provisions of this Act).”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment, and the amendment to page 5, line 10 in the name of Lord Leigh of Hurley, seek to introduce value thresholds for qualifying entities and assets (subject to anti-avoidance provisions to prevent the circumvention of the Act), which would bring the NSI regime in line with other leading foreign investment regimes that have de minimis financial thresholds for notification.*

LORD LANSLEY

25 Page 5, line 21, after “include” insert “(but are not limited to)”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment gives flexibility to the designation of qualifying assets under subsection (4)(c).*

LORD CLEMENT-JONES

26 Page 5, line 33, leave out paragraphs (a) and (b) and insert “activities carried on in the United Kingdom by a person having control over that asset within the meaning of section 9.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment ensures that only those assets that are used in connection with activities carried on in the UK by the person in control of the asset are qualifying entities.*

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

27 Page 5, line 33, leave out paragraphs (a) and (b) and insert “activities carried on in the United Kingdom by a person who, prior to the trigger event taking place, is or was able to use the asset or to direct or control its use.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment ensures that only those assets that are used in connection with activities carried on in the UK by the person in control of the asset are qualifying entities.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

28 Page 5, line 34, at end insert –

“(7) In this section –

“land” is a qualifying asset only if it is located within one mile of a sensitive site;

“sensitive site” means any site identified as such by the Secretary of State and published on a Government website (as updated from time to time).”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment would require the Government to create an online checking service to confirm whether certain land is regarded as sensitive similar to one provided under the equivalent US regime.*

**Clause 8**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

- 29 Page 6, leave out lines 20 to 22 and insert “enable the person to secure or prevent the passage of any resolution in respect of any matter governing the affairs of the entity that is equivalent to a matter that can be passed by way of ordinary resolution or special resolution under the Companies Act 2006.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment tightens the scope of the trigger event so that it does not capture minority investor veto rights that would not give rise to national security concerns.*

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN

- 29A Page 6, line 33, leave out subsection (8)

LORD LANSLEY

- 30 Page 6, line 35, leave out from “person” to end and insert “directly or indirectly materially to influence the policy of the entity, or to do so to a greater degree.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment reflects the concept of material influence used in the Enterprise Act 2002.*

LORD BRUCE OF BENNACHIE  
BARONESS MCINTOSH OF PICKERING

- 31 Page 6, line 38, at end insert –

“(10) For the purposes of this section, acquiring a right or interest in, or in relation to, an entity by way of security does not constitute obtaining control over the entity, and any such rights or interests held by way of security do not constitute any of the cases described in this section.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment seeks to ensure that transactions are only caught where the person gains actual control of a qualifying entity and would exempt securities or other situations where no effective control is obtained.*

**Clause 9**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

- 32 Page 7, line 1, after “section” insert “and in section 7(6)”

LORD BRUCE OF BENNACHIE  
BARONESS MCINTOSH OF PICKERING

- 33 Page 7, line 2, at end insert –

“(3) For the purposes of this section and section 11, acquiring a right or interest in, or in relation to, an asset by way of security does not constitute obtaining control over that asset.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment seeks to ensure that transactions are only caught where the person gains actual control of a qualifying entity and would exempt securities or other situations where no effective control is obtained.*

**Clause 10**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

34 Page 7, line 11, leave out “is” and insert “was, on the commencement date,”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment ensures that intra-group investments are not covered by the clause.*

35 Page 7, line 15, at end insert—

“(3) Where more than one person is treated as holding an interest or right due to the provisions of Schedule 1, only one trigger event shall arise in respect of that interest or right.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment provides that only one trigger event would arise in cases in which a corporate group comprises multiple separate entities.*

**Clause 11**

LORD LANSLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

36 Page 7, line 20, at end insert—

“( ) For the purposes of this Act a person is not to be regarded as gaining control of a qualifying asset to the extent that the use of a qualifying asset is conducted wholly within the activity of a United Kingdom-based higher education or research institution.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment would provide a “safe harbour” in relation to assets wholly controlled within UK higher education and research institutes.*

LORD CALLANAN

37 Page 7, line 26, leave out “or 9”

**Member's explanatory statement**

*This amendment removes the reference to Article 9 of the Export Control Order 2008 (S.I. 2008/3231) which was revoked by regulation 4(7) of the Export Control (Amendment)(EU Exit) Regulations 2019 (S.I. 2019/137).*

LORD LANSLEY

38 Page 7, line 33, at end insert—

“(2A) For the purposes of this Act a person is not to be regarded as gaining control of a qualifying asset of the type specified in section 7(4)(c) where—  
(a) the asset is being licensed to that person on a non-permanent basis,

**Clause 11 - continued**

- (b) legal ownership of the asset has not been permanently transferred to the licensee, and
- (c) substantive economic ownership of the asset has not been transferred to the licensee.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would provide that Intellectual Property (IP) licences that do not transfer ownership of the asset to the licensee would not be treated as gaining control by the licensee, as the licensor can impose restrictions on the use of the IP.*

39 Page 7, line 36, at end insert—

- “(aa) prescribe circumstances which are not to be regarded as gaining control of a qualifying asset which is the subject of an export control order under the Export Control Act 2002 and related provisions, and”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would enable the Secretary of State in regulations to set out where the control of assets under the Export Control Act should not to be regarded as gaining control under this Act.*

**Clause 12**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

LORD CLEMENT-JONES

40 Page 8, line 11, at end insert—

- “( ) In circumstances relating to the creation or potential creation of a qualifying asset, a trigger event only takes place upon the creation of a qualifying asset.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment seeks to ensure in particular that research and development partnerships, such as those that are widely formed between commercial organisations and universities with the objective to create new intellectual property (and potentially qualifying assets), are not required to provide notification of the creation of such partnerships at the outset.*

**Clause 13**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

LORD CLEMENT-JONES

41 Page 8, line 15, at beginning insert “The Secretary of State may make an order that”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would reduce undue legal uncertainty for investors and lenders by replacing automatic voiding with a provision for voiding on the order of the Secretary of State.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT  
LORD BILIMORIA

- 42 Page 8, line 16, leave out “void” and insert “voidable (in whole or in part) by the decision of the Secretary of State where the completion has given rise to a risk to national security”

BARONESS NOAKES  
BARONESS BOWLES OF BERKHAMSTED

- 43 Page 8, line 20, at end insert –
- “( ) If an acquisition has been notified under section 14 and the Secretary of State has not issued a call-in notice under section 14(8)(b)(i) within the review period specified in respect of that acquisition, the Secretary of State shall be deemed to have approved the acquisition.
- ( ) If an acquisition has been notified under section 18 and the Secretary of State has not issued a call-in notice under section 18(8)(b)(i) within the review period specified in respect of that acquisition, the Secretary of State shall be deemed to have approved the acquisition.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

*This amendment is to give certainty that if the Secretary of State has not issued a call-in notice in respect of acquisitions notified under the mandatory or voluntary procedures, they can proceed and cannot be voided under Clause 13.*

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

- 44 Page 8, line 21, at beginning insert “The Secretary of State may make an order that”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

*This amendment would reduce undue legal uncertainty for investors and lenders by replacing automatic voiding with a provision for voiding on the order of the Secretary of State.*

LORD LANSLEY

- 45 Page 8, line 21, leave out from “made,” to end of line 22 and insert “or in relation to which undertakings under section 26(1)(aa) have been accepted, that is completed otherwise than in accordance with the final order or the undertakings (as applicable), is void.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

*This amendment is linked to amendments in Lord Lansley’s name to Clause 26 which provide for undertakings to be accepted instead of a final order.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

- 46 Page 8, line 22, leave out “void” and insert “voidable”

**Clause 14**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

- 47 Page 8, line 27, after “a” insert “foreign”

**Clause 14 - continued**

48 Page 8, line 28, after “the” insert “foreign”

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

48A Page 8, line 37, at end insert “which may include a streamlined form to be used by a person who has previously submitted a notification under subsection (1) or section 18 (2)”.

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment seeks to reduce the regulatory burden for persons who have submitted notifications on previous occasions.*

BARONESS NOAKES  
LORD GRANTCHESTER  
LORD LANSLEY

BARONESS BOWLES OF BERKHAMSTED

49 Page 9, line 1, leave out “As soon as reasonably practicable” and insert “Within 5 working days”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This would require the Secretary of State to make a decision on whether to accept a mandatory notification within 5 working days to give more certainty to those who wish to progress notifiable acquisitions.*

LORD LANSLEY

50 Page 9, line 6, at end insert “including as to the information required to be provided in relation to the notifiable acquisition.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*The purpose of this amendment is to ensure that the requirement for information to support a decision by the Secretary of State will need to be specified in the Regulations.*

51 Page 9, line 7, leave out paragraph (c)

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

52 Page 9, line 11, after “the” insert “foreign”

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

52A Page 9, line 20, at beginning insert “Subject to subsection (9A) below,”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment, and the other amendments to Clauses 14 and 18 in the name of Lord Leigh, introduce a fast-track process for transactions that do not raise national security concerns, but which nonetheless need to be notified due to the target’s activities being in a specified sector. The introduction of a fast-track procedure would not prevent the Secretary of State from referring a transaction for further review as required.*



BARONESS NOAKES  
LORD LANSLEY  
BARONESS BOWLES OF BERKHAMSTED

53 Page 9, line 20, leave out “30” and insert “20”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This would shorten the period for the Secretary of State to decide whether or not to issue a call-in notice in respect of an acquisition from 6 weeks to 4 weeks to enable transactions which have been notified under the mandatory procedure and do not result in a call-in notice to go ahead more quickly.*

LORD LANSLEY

54 Page 9, line 21, leave out from first “the” to end of line 22 and insert “notice under subsection (1) was received by the Secretary of State.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This will create more certainty over the timing of consideration.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

55 Page 9, line 21, after second “the” insert “foreign”

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

55A Page 9, line 22, at end insert –

“(9A) Under the “accelerated procedure”, the person who gave the mandatory notice may request a review period of 10 working days beginning with the day on which the notification under subsection (8)(a) is given to the person who gave the mandatory notice.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment, and the other amendments to Clauses 14 and 18 in the name of Lord Leigh, introduce a fast-track process for transactions that do not raise national security concerns, but which nonetheless need to be notified due to the target’s activities being in a specified sector. The introduction of a fast-track procedure would not prevent the Secretary of State from referring a transaction for further review as required.*

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

56 Page 9, line 23, after first “the” insert “foreign”

**Clause 15**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT  
LORD BILIMORIA

57 Page 9, line 27, leave out “void” and insert “voidable”

58 Page 9, line 39, leave out “void” and insert “voidable”

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

59 Page 9, line 41, after first “the” insert “foreign”

**Clause 16**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT  
LORD BILIMORIA

60 Page 10, line 3, leave out “void” and insert “voidable”

**Clause 17**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT  
LORD BILIMORIA

61 Page 11, line 14, leave out “void” and insert “voidable”

**Clause 18**

BARONESS NOAKES  
LORD GRANTCHESTER  
LORD LANSLEY  
BARONESS BOWLES OF BERKHAMSTED

62 Page 11, line 30, leave out “As soon as reasonably practicable” and insert “Within 5 working days”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This would require the Secretary of State to make a decision on whether to accept a mandatory notification within 5 working days to give more certainty to those who wish to progress acquisitions under the voluntary notification procedure.*

LORD LANSLEY

63 Page 11, line 35, at end insert “including as to the information required to be provided in relation to the trigger event under subsection (2),”

64 Page 12, line 1, leave out “as soon as practicable” and insert “within 5 working days”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would require the Secretary of State to give notification to relevant persons of the acceptance of a voluntary notice within 5 working days.*

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

64A Page 12, line 6, at beginning insert “Subject to subsection (9A) below,”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment, and the other amendments to Clauses 14 and 18 in the name of Lord Leigh, introduce a fast-track process for transactions that do not raise national security concerns, but which nonetheless need to be notified due to the target’s activities being in a specified sector. The introduction of a fast-track procedure would not prevent the Secretary of State from referring a transaction for further review as required.*

BARONESS NOAKES  
LORD LANSLEY  
BARONESS BOWLES OF BERKHAMSTED

65 Page 12, line 6, leave out “30” and insert “20”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This would shorten the period for the Secretary of State to decide whether or not to issue a call-in notice in respect of an acquisition from 6 weeks to 4 weeks to enable transactions which have been notified under the mandatory procedure and do not result in a call-in notice to go ahead more quickly.*

LORD LANSLEY

66 Page 12, line 7, leave out from first “the” to “; but” in line 8 and insert “notice under subsection (2) was received by the Secretary of State”

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES  
LORD BILIMORIA

67 Page 12, line 9, at end insert –

“(9A) If the Secretary of State has not notified each relevant person that a call-in notice in relation to the trigger event is required by the end of the review period, then no further action may be taken under this Act in relation to the trigger event.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would provide certainty for businesses by providing that no further action can be taken in relation to a trigger event if the Secretary of State has not made a decision on a voluntary notification by the end of the 30 day review period.*

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

67A Page 12, line 9, at end insert –

“(9A) Under the “accelerated procedure”, the person who gave the voluntary notice may request a review period of 10 working days beginning with the day on which the notification under subsection (8)(a) is given to the person who gave the voluntary notice, but this does not affect the operation of the time limits in section 2(2) and (4).”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment, and the other amendments to Clauses 14 and 18 in the name of Lord Leigh, introduce a fast-track process for transactions that do not raise national security concerns, but which nonetheless need to be notified due to the target’s activities being in a specified sector. The introduction of a fast-track procedure would not prevent the Secretary of State from referring a transaction for further review as required.*

**Clause 19**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

**67B** Page 12, line 37, at end insert –

“(4A) Any time limit specified under subsection (4)(b) must be reasonable in the circumstances and must, in any event, be no less than three working days.”

**Clause 24**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

**67C** Page 16, line 21, leave out from beginning to “does” in line 23 and insert “The Secretary of State may decide that any day falling within the period beginning with any day after the time limit referred to in subsection (2)(b) and ending with the day on which a notice under subsection (3)(a) is given”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment is designed to clarify the use of "stop the clock" procedures.*

**Clause 26**

LORD LANSLEY

**68** Page 17, line 21, at end insert –

“(aa) accept such undertakings from the acquirer as the Secretary of State deems appropriate to remedy, mitigate or prevent any risk to national security, or”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would enable the Secretary of State to accept undertakings in lieu of a final order or a final notification.*

**69** Page 17, line 23, at end insert –

“(1A) Undertakings under this section –

- (a) come into force when accepted,
- (b) may be varied or superseded by another undertaking,
- (c) may be released by the Secretary of State, and
- (d) may be replaced by the Secretary of State with a final order at any time.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would enable the Secretary of State to accept undertakings in lieu of a final order or a final notification.*

LORD BUTLER OF BROCKWELL  
LORD ROOKER

70 Page 17, line 40, at end insert –

“( ) Before a final order is made, the Secretary of State must share with the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament any intelligence relevant to such an order, and a final order shall not be made before the Intelligence and Security Committee has made a report to Parliament on the order.”

LORD LANSLEY

71 Page 18, line 1, after “a” insert “suitably-qualified”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment seeks to explore the characteristics and qualifications of the persons the Secretary of State would appoint to supervise activities under a final order.*

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

72 Page 18, line 17, at end insert –

“(9) A final order shall not result in the voiding of any agreements that have resulted in the relevant trigger event.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment will give investors certainty that any divestment or unwinding order will not render their contractual arrangements unenforceable.*

**Clause 30**

LORD HODGSON OF ASTLEY ABBOTTS

*Lord Hodgson of Astley Abbots gives notice of his intention to oppose the Question that Clause 30 stand part of the Bill.*

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This probes the intention and details of the provisions in Clause 30.*

**Clause 32**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

73 Page 21, line 5, after first “a” insert “foreign”

74 Page 21, line 9, after “that” insert “foreign”

**Clause 53**

LORD CALLANAN

75 Page 33, line 6, leave out from “followed” to end and insert “when a provision of or made under this Act requires or allows a notice, order, notification or document of any kind to be given or served.”

***Member's explanatory statement***

*This amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in clause 53(1) in relation to the procedure for service of documents extends to cover all of the different types of notices, orders and documents under the Bill.*

**Clause 54**

LORD LANSLEY

- 76 Page 34, line 15, leave out “and” and insert –  
 “( ) whether the United Kingdom has a reciprocal agreement with the country or territory to whose authority the disclosure would be made, and”

***Member's explanatory statement***

*This amendment would require the Secretary of State to take into consideration whether there is a reciprocal agreement in place when deciding to disclose information to an overseas public authority.*

**Clause 57**

LORD LANSLEY

- 77 Page 35, line 32, at end insert “(but the duty or power is to be taken into account in determining whether the disclosure or use would contravene that legislation).”

***Member's explanatory statement***

*This amendment would enable the duty or powers under this Act to be taken into account in relation to the application of prohibitions on disclosure under the Investigatory Powers Act.*

**Clause 61**

LORD WEST OF SPITHEAD

LORD ROOKER

LORD CAMPBELL OF PITTENWEEM

- 78 Page 36, line 15, at end insert “, except for any confidential annex prepared under subsection (2A).”

- 79 Page 36, line 33, at end insert –  
 “(m) in respect of mandatory and voluntary notifications, trigger events called-in, and final orders given –  
 (i) the jurisdiction of the acquirer and its incorporation;  
 (ii) the number of state-owned entities and details of states of such entities;  
 (iii) the nature of potential national security risks identified;  
 (iv) the particular technological or sectoral expertise that was being targeted; and  
 (v) any other information the Secretary of State may deem instructive on the nature of national security threats uncovered through reviews undertaken under this Act.

**Clause 61 - continued**

- (2A) Where the Secretary of State considers that publication of any information listed in subsection (2)(m) would be contrary to the interests of national security, those details may be excluded from publication and instead shall be included in a confidential annex to the report provided to the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament on the same day that the rest of the report is laid before each House of Parliament.”

LORD GRANTCHESTER

80 Page 36, line 33, at end insert –

- “( ) the average number of days taken to assess a trigger event called in under the Act,
- ( ) the average number of days taken for acceptance decisions in respect of mandatory and voluntary notices,
- ( ) the average staff resource allocated to the operation of reviews of notices made under sections 14 and 18 over the relevant period,
- ( ) the number and proportion of notices and call-in notices concerning the acquisition of a small or medium-sized enterprise,
- ( ) in respect of the acquisition of a small or medium-sized enterprise, the sectors of the economy in relation to which call-in notices were given,
- ( ) the minimum, average and maximum turnaround times for notifications.”

LORD LANSLEY

81 Page 36, line 33, at end insert –

- “( ) the number of final orders varied or revoked.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would add details of orders varied or revoked to the list of details included in the Annual Report.*

**After Clause 61**

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN

LORD FOX

BARONESS BENNETT OF MANOR CASTLE

82 Insert the following new Clause –

**“Annual report to the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament**

- (1) The Secretary of State must, in relation to each relevant period under section 61 –
- (a) prepare a report in accordance with this section, and
- (b) provide a copy of it to the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament as soon as practicable after the end of that period.
- (2) Each report must provide, in respect of mandatory and voluntary notifications, call-in notices, and final orders made under this Act, details of –
- (a) the jurisdiction of the acquirer and its incorporation,
- (b) the number of state-owned entities and details of states of such entities,

**After Clause 61 - continued**

- (c) the nature of national security risks posed in transactions for which there were final orders,
- (d) particular technological or sectoral expertise that was being targeted, and
- (e) any other information the Secretary of State deems instructive on the nature of national security threats uncovered through review undertaken under this Act.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

*This new Clause would require the Government to publish an annual security report to the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament.*

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN  
 BARONESS NORTHOVER  
 BARONESS BENNETT OF MANOR CASTLE

83 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Integrated review statement**

- (1) As soon as reasonably practicable after the Integrated Review of Security, Defence, Development and Foreign Policy is published, the Secretary of State must publish a statement which outlines how provisions in this Act will align with the United Kingdom’s long term security priorities and concerns which have been identified in the Review.
- (2) The statement must cover how provisions in this Act will respond to emerging threats, new technology, biological weapons, cyber, misinformation, and military developments by the UK’s adversaries.
- (3) The Secretary of State must lay the statement before Parliament.”

LORD GRANTCHESTER

84 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Equity stakes and national security review**

- (1) Within one month of the day on which this Act is passed, the Secretary of State must conduct a review of business loans and grants which have been distributed in response to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) to sectors in relation to which the Secretary of State considers that trigger events are more likely to give rise to a risk to national security.
- (2) If loans and grants have been accepted by businesses in these sectors, the Secretary of State must consider converting loans and grants into equity stakes if there is a clear economic and national security rationale for doing so.”

85 Insert the following new clause—

**“Support for small and medium-sized enterprises**

- (1) Within 3 months of this Act being passed, the Secretary of State must set up a division charged with engaging with small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) affected by any provisions of this Act.
- (2) The division must focus on the following functions—



**After Clause 61 - continued**

- (a) providing updated, efficient and accessible guidance specific to SMEs on compliance with the terms of this Act;
- (b) engaging with SMEs in advance of a notice under this Act for the purposes of allowing efficient notice and assessment periods, including through use of regulatory sandboxes where beneficial for innovation and national security;
- (c) providing regular engagement with and assistance to SMEs throughout the assessment periods for SMEs;
- (d) seeking to deliver prompt, proportionate resolution of complaints by SMEs relating to the provisions of this Act;
- (e) monitoring the impact on access to investment for SMEs.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This new Clause would require the Secretary of State to set up a small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) engagement division to assist and support SMEs through the national security screening process.*

LORD LANSLEY  
LORD FOX

86 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Oversight of the ISC**

In section 2(1) of the Justice and Security Act 2013 (main functions of the ISC), after paragraph (c) insert—

“(d) the Investment Security Unit of the Department of Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy.””

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This Clause would include oversight of this regime within the remit of the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament, as specified in the relevant statute.*

LORD LANSLEY

87 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Interaction with functions under the Export Control Act 2002**

Before making an interim order or a final order under this Act in respect of a qualifying asset, the Secretary of State must take account of the application to the asset of any export control, transfer control, technical assistance control or trade control imposed under the Export Control Act 2002 and related provisions.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This Clause would require the terms of a final order to take account of export control-related orders applying to the assets.*

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

88 Insert the following new Clause –

**“Higher education guidance**

- (1) Within three months of the day on which this Act is passed, the Secretary of State must publish guidance for the higher education and research sector in relation to provisions in this Act, which includes, but is not limited to –
  - (a) a clear explanation of asset transactions in respect of which higher education institutions must give notice to the Secretary of State;
  - (b) how the provisions of the Act affect contract research, consultancy work, and collaborative research and development;
  - (c) the application of the provisions of the Act to strategic security partnerships and domestic partners.
- (2) The Government must consult the higher education and research sector on draft guidance and include feedback in the final publication.”

LORD CLEMENT-JONES  
LORD BILIMORIA

89 Insert the following new Clause –

**“Review**

- (1) Within three years of the day on which this Act is passed and every three years afterwards, the Secretary of State must undertake a review of this Act and report to Parliament on the outcome of the review.
- (2) The review must have regard to –
  - (a) any benefits to national security brought about by this Act,
  - (b) the impact of this Act on levels of foreign investment in the United Kingdom, and
  - (c) whether the benefits to national security brought about by this Act have been proportionate to any adverse impact on levels of foreign investment in the United Kingdom.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would require the Secretary of State to undertake a review of the impact of this Act on national security and foreign investment.*

BARONESS HAYTER OF KENTISH TOWN  
LORD ROOKER  
LORD FOX

90 Insert the following new Clause –

**“Investment Security Unit**

- (1) Within the period of six months beginning with the day on which this Act is passed, the Investment Security Unit (“ISU”) must be moved, with all necessary resources, from the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy to the Cabinet Office.
- (2) Responsibility for the ISU must be transferred from the Secretary of State to the relevant Minister of State in the Cabinet Office.

**After Clause 61 - continued**

- (3) The Minister of State must set up an advisory board to the ISU.
- (4) The Minister of State must appoint members to the advisory board who include but are not limited to—
  - (a) representatives from relevant government departments;
  - (b) representatives from the Armed Forces;
  - (c) representatives from the Office for Investment;
  - (d) representatives from the National Security Council;
  - (e) experts in defence, security and foreign affairs;
  - (f) experts in energy and critical infrastructure;
  - (g) experts in technology, including artificial intelligence;
  - (h) representatives from business groups, including start-ups and small and medium sized businesses.
- (5) The ISU may give advice to the Minister of State relating to provisions in this Act.”

LORD WEST OF SPITHEAD  
BARONESS SMITH OF NEWNHAM  
LORD ALTON OF LIVERPOOL

91 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Defence supply chain guidance**

- (1) Within the period of 3 months beginning with the day on which this Act is passed, the Secretary of State must publish guidance for businesses in the defence supply chain about the provisions in this Act, including a list of countries which the Secretary of State considers less likely to give rise to a risk to national security and from which investment is encouraged.
- (2) In preparing the guidance, the Secretary of State must consult the defence sector on draft guidance and take account of responses in the final publication.”

LORD LEIGH OF HURLEY  
LORD CLEMENT-JONES

92 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Market guidance notes**

- (1) Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must publish market guidance notes to provide information to assist with compliance of the Act.
- (2) The market guidance notes must be updated and re-published not more than every six months thereafter.”

**After Clause 61 - continued**

BARONESS BENNETT OF MANOR CASTLE

93 Insert the following new Clause—

**“Statement on climate, environment and ecological security**

- (1) Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must publish a statement on how the provisions contained in this Act will be exercised in relation to national security impacts caused by climate, environment and ecological damage.
- (2) The statement must include, but is not limited to—
  - (a) a review of how damage to climate, environment and ecological impacts affect national security;
  - (b) an assessment of how climate, environment and ecological damage affects biosecurity risks, including pandemics; and
  - (c) a list of conditions in which it is likely that the actions or omissions of a qualifying entity or qualifying asset are likely to be deemed as a risk to national security due to their impact on climate, environment and ecology.”

**Clause 63**

LORD FOX

LORD CLEMENT-JONES

94 Page 37, line 39, at end insert—

- “(6) Before making regulations under section 6(1) the Secretary of State must lay before Parliament—
  - (a) the proposed draft of the regulations, and
  - (b) a document which explains the proposed draft regulations.
- (7) Where a proposed draft of the regulations is laid before Parliament under subsection (6), no draft statutory instrument containing the regulations is to be laid before Parliament until after the expiry of the 30-day period.
- (8) The Secretary of State must request a committee of either House whose remit includes industrial strategy, economic affairs, science or technology to report on the proposed draft regulations within the 30-day period.
- (9) In preparing a draft statutory instrument containing the regulations, the Secretary of State must take account of—
  - (a) any representations,
  - (b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and
  - (c) any recommendations of a committee under subsection (8),
 made within the 30-day period with regard to the proposed draft regulations.
- (10) If, after the 30-day period, the Secretary of State wishes to make regulations in the terms of the proposed draft or revised draft regulations, they must lay before Parliament a statement—
  - (a) stating whether any representations, resolutions or recommendations were made under subsection (9);

**Clause 63 - continued**

- (b) giving details of any representations, resolutions or recommendations so made; and
  - (c) explaining any changes made in any revised draft of the regulations.
- (11) The Secretary of State may make a statutory instrument containing the regulations (whether or not revised) if, after laying a statement under subsection (10), a draft of the instrument has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament as outlined in subsection (5).
- (12) In this section, reference to “the 30-day period” in relation to any draft regulations is to the period of 30 days beginning with the day on which the initial proposed draft regulations were laid before Parliament.
- (13) For the purposes of subsection (12) no account is to be taken of any time during which Parliament is dissolved or prorogued or during which either House is adjourned for more than four days.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would introduce the super-affirmative procedure for regulations made under section 6(1).*

**Clause 65**

LORD VAIZEY OF DIDCOT

- 95 Page 38, line 8, at end insert –
- ““Authorised Country” means Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the United States of America and any other country that the Secretary of State may designate as an Authorised Country in the notifiable acquisition regulations (see section 6),”
- 96 Page 38, line 21, at end insert –
- ““foreign person” means –
- (a) an individual who is neither a United Kingdom national nor a national of an Authorised Country;
  - (b) a company in which 25% or more of the voting rights or issued share capital are directly or indirectly held by one or more foreign persons;
  - (c) a limited liability partnership in which 25% or more of the designated members are foreign persons;
  - (d) a partnership (excluding a limited partnership) in which 25% or more of the partners are foreign persons;
  - (e) a trust in which one or more of the trustees or the beneficial owner or owners of 25% or more of the trust are foreign persons;
  - (f) a body corporate, of which 25% or more of the beneficial ownership is directly or indirectly held by one or more foreign persons;
  - (g) an unincorporated association, of which 25% or more of the beneficial ownership is directly or indirectly held by one or more foreign persons;

**Clause 65 - continued**

- (h) a foreign government (except for the government of an Authorised Country); or
- (i) any other person that the Secretary of State specifies as a foreign person in the notifiable acquisition regulations,”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment exempts UK investors and investors from closely allied countries from the mandatory filing regime on the grounds that they are less likely to give rise to national security concerns.*

**Schedule 1****LORD LANSLEY**

97 Page 42, line 31, leave out paragraph (b)

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would remove the presumption that a former spouse, civil partner or co-habitee continues to be a connected individual for the purposes of this Act.*

98 Page 43, line 10, leave out sub-paragraph (2) and insert –

- “(2) An arrangement under sub-paragraph (1) may be determined by reference to its nature or terms, the time it has been in existence, actions taken by persons in apparent furtherance of an arrangement, or otherwise.”

***Member’s explanatory statement***

*This amendment would provide a set of parameters for the definition of an “arrangement”.*

# National Security and Investment Bill

---

SECOND  
MARSHALLED  
LIST OF AMENDMENTS  
TO BE MOVED  
IN GRAND COMMITTEE

---

*4 March 2021*

---